



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

4A

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,775	01/07/2005	Joseph Leibenguth	09669/044001	2427
22511	7590	12/14/2007	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P.			KIM, AHSHIK	
1221 MCKINNEY STREET				
SUITE 2800				
HOUSTON, TX 77010				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com
buta@oshaliang.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/520,775

Applicant(s)

LEIBENGUTH ET AL.

Examiner

Ahshik Kim

Art Unit

2876

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/25/07 (Amendment).
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on September 25, 2007. Currently,
5 claims 1-9 remain in the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

10 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an
15 subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 2, and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lind et al. (US 2006/0187805, hereinafter "Lind").

20 Re claims 1 and 9, Lind discloses a system comprising a first data carrier 301 and a second data carrier 601, the first data carrier 301 being detachably attached to the second data carrier (see abstract, paragraphs 0008 and 0010). The second data carrier is read by the reading unit (paragraph 0054 0064); and although not explicitly stated, it is the Examiner's position that SIM card – the first data carrier - is accessed with the reader reading from and/or writing the
25 information to the SIM card.

Re claim 2, the assembly is made of polymer material including polycarbonate (see paragraph 0003); and the SIM plug is made of a plastic or polymer material.

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 5, the first carrier is a GMS plug (paragraph 0038) and the second data carrier is an optical card (0008). Also see figures 7a-9.

Re claim 6, as shown in figure 9, the detachable module can be attached to the optical carrier by way of a bridge 301 (see figure 9 and paragraph 0076). The bridge can certainly be
5 considered a clipping element. SIM card can be attached to the optical carrier.

Re claim 7, relying on figure 6, the detachable module are attached on the grooves 301 of the optical medium. Although not explicitly stated, the detachable module has to pressed/pushed down on the groove.

Re claim 8, the groove 301 is also a breaking line between which goes through the edges
10 of the detachable module (see figure 7a).

Re claim 9, Lind also discloses a method for production of an optical disc with a detachable module (see abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
15 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
20 having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various
25 claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 2876

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lind et al.

5 (US 2006/0187805) in view of Ladyansky (US 6,758,404, hereinafter "Ladyyansky").

The teachings of Lind have been discussed above.

Lind fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest that the detachable module is made of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) or polyvinylchloride (PVC).

Ladyansky teaches a chip card which is a GSM card made of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
10 (ABS) or polyvinylchloride (PVC) (col. 9, lines 34+; col. 10, lines 25+).

In view of Ladansky's teaching, acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) or polyvinylchloride (PVC) are well known material in manufacturing various cards including SIM, GSM and other cards. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to well-known ABS or PVC which may be readily available,
15 therefore reducing the manufacturing cost.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on September 25, 2007 have been carefully reviewed and
20 considered, but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claimed invention discloses that the first data carrier and the second data carrier are made of two different materials.

Art Unit: 2876

Applicant cited Lind paragraphs [0012]-[014], [0021], [0022], [0028], and [0030] as supporting that the first carrier and the second carrier disclosed in Lind are made of the same material. The cited sections of Lind do not disclose that they are made of the same material. In fact, the cited sections are illustrating how the mould (in which SIM plug - the second data carrier would be inserted) is created.

The first data carrier is an optical disk. Although Lind does not explicitly disclose the material used in optical disk, it is generally known that the disk is manufactured with some kind of polycarbonate material - plastic. The optical disk is comprised of a single spiral groove on the surface in which a form of pits is used to store data.

The second data carrier is a SIM plug, which is a removable smart card (see figures 7a and 8). The SIM plug is comprised of a base material on which IC circuit is integrated. Lind is also silent on the material used for manufacturing the base of SIM module. *Arguendo*, assume that the optical disk and the base of SIM module are made of the same plastic material (which Examiner does not concede). SIM module still contains integrated circuitry. Accordingly, it can be concluded that they are made of different material.

The amended claims and remarks describing these elements have been fully considered, but it is the Examiner's position that the Lind reference discloses all subject matter recited in at least claims 1 and 9.

Moreover, Applicant's argument against 35 USC 103 on the basis that Lind does not disclose all the elements of claim 1 is not persuasive.

In view of above, the Examiner has made this Office Action final.

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax phone number for this Group is (571)273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available for Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have any questions or access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Ahshik Kim
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2876
December 7, 2007